SPEAKER WITHEM: The amendment is not adopted. I'd like to make an announcement or suggestion in terms of the agenda here that I'd like your attention to. Because we're on the last week of the session and we have what appears to be still a considerably lengthy debate before us yet this evening, a number of senators have visited with me about whether we will be standing at ease for a time period this evening, or whether we'll be continuing to work through the dinner hour and just allowing individual members, as their individual schedules allow them, to slip out and grab something to eat. At this point it would be my tendency to prefer the latter, and that would be not to stand at ease for dinner this evening. If there is a considerable feeling that we ought to follow the same procedure that we followed the last couple of weeks when we've been working late, I'll certainly take that into consideration. But at this point I would suggest that we go grab a bite across the hallway whenever we have an opportunity to and continue to keep working the agenda in here. With that, Mr. Clerk, anything else on the bill?

CLERK: Yes, Mr. President, but some items. First of all, if I might, new resolution, LR 505 by Senator Robinson will be laid over. I have proposed rules changes by Senator Will. Senator Beutler has amendments to LB 944; Senator Robak to LR 293CA; and Senator Warner to LB 902. Enrollment and Review reports LB 906, LB 1044, LB 1292 as correctly engrossed. (See pages 1883-87 of the Legislative Journal.)

Senator Hall would move to amend the bill. (Hall amendment, FA557, appears on page 1887 of the Legislative Journal.)

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Mr. President, members. The amendment is...

SPEAKER WITHEM: Excuse me, Senator Hall, before you get started let me raise the call.

SENATOR HALL: I'm sure they'll all stay anyway, Mr. President, just to hear what I might say. (Laughter.) The amendment is found on page 16 of AM5732, which has become the bill. It is subsection (d) of Section 24, and I would strike that. It is the section dealing with the two-year fall-off or the two-year cap for the assistance period. The reason for raising this